# Urea treated paddy straw: Effect on feed intake, digestibility, fermentable energy and milk production in cattle

S. Sivajothi<sup>1</sup>, P. Aruna<sup>2</sup>, B. Sudhakara Reddy<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Parasitology <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Faculty of Agronomy, Livestock Farm Complex <sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Veterinary Clinical Complex, College of Veterinary Science, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh 516360, India.

### Abstract

#### **Keywords:**

Paddy Straw; Utilization; Feed, Ruminant, Urea

Most of the small scale farmers in the developing counties like in India are cultivating the rice. Paddy straw is by-product of the rice production. Paddy straw was rich in lignin content and reduces the degradability by ruminal microorganisms in cattle. Different methods including physical, chemical and biological are available, to improve the paddy straw utilization as feed stuff to the cattle. Among the several methods, treating with urea is one of the commonest methods following in the field. To improve the quality of paddy straw by its availability of the crude protein, digestibility, rumen degradability and production of high milk yield treatment with urea is recommended. The present review about an overview of existing knowledge on urea treated paddy straw and its benefits as cattle feed.

### Introduction

Ruminants are depending on the year - round grazing or hand fed in the tropical regions in the world. Due to presence of the seasonal dry periods, availability of pasture reduced for feeding which leads to lowered digestible energy and nitrogen, less milk production (Reddy, 1996). Paddy straw is considered as the most abundant source of feed for the animals during the dry periods (Anshar et al., 2015). Supplementation of the poor quality straw and decomposed hay leads to development of the digestive disturbances including alkaline indigestion in cattle (Reddy and Kumari, 2010). Physical, chemical and biological treatments are the recommended procedures to improve the quality of the paddy straw (Selim et al., 2004). It is essential to supplement the high quality nitrogen supplementation when cattle were suffering with infectious diseases including haemoprotozoan diseases (Sivajothi et al., 2014). When cattle are suffering with gastro intestinal functional abnormalities in association with other systemic diseases, it is recommended the high nutritive value and easily digestible feed supplementation (Reddy *et al.*, 2014). Feeding of the paddy straw to the cattle had some limitations like low nitrogen content, the presence of lower carbohydrate content, limiting voluntary intake and reducing degradability by ruminal microorganisms (Rehrahie and Ledin, 2001, Trach *et al.*, 2001, Mesfin and Ktaw, 2010). The present review about an overview of existing knowledge on urea treated paddy straw and its benefits as cattle feed.

#### **Importance of Urea Treated Paddy Straw**

Feeding of the plain paddy straw does not provide enough nutrients because of its relatively low nutritive value, protein levels (2 to 5%), high fiber and lignin contents (NDF > 50%) and low digestibility in the rumen (< 60%) (Wanapat *et al.*, 2009). The practical utility of plain paddy straw was reduced because of the low feed intake by the cattle and low digestibility by the ruminants (Males, 1987). Urea treated paddy straw found to be improving the digestibility, nitrogen content, cellulose and hemicellulose levels (Silva and

Corresponding Author: S. Sivajothi, Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Parasitology, College of Veterinary Science, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh 516360, India. E-mail: sivajothi579@gmail.com

Orskov, 1988). Initially to improve the quality of paddy straw application of the caustic soda was trailed (Lehmann, 1991). Khan *et al.* (1999) studied the urea pre-treatment of straw by addition of the urease to improve the quality. It was proposed that the low quality roughages utility can be improved by the treatment with nitrogen sources, chemical and physical treatment (McDonald *et al.*, 2002; Nguyen *et al.*, 2012). Among the available treatment protocols for the paddy straw, urea treatment may be the most suitable method for small - scale farmers improve the quality of straws at the field level (Akter *et al.*, 2012).

#### Effect of Urea Treated Straw on Feed Intake

It was recorded that, higher intake of feed was noticed in the cattle after feeding with 4 kg urea with 1 kg calcium hydroxide to the paddy straw (Leng, 1984). Dajayanegra *et al.* (1989) and Ghana et al., (1993) reported both urea treatment and urea supplementation increased intake, a rate of digestion and digestibility of nutrients. Fadel, (2003) found that the treatment of urea and calcium hydroxide could slightly increase dry matter intake in dairy cows when compared with untreated rice straw. Ahmed *et al.* (2003) reported significantly higher dry matter intake in cattle feed with 4% urea treated straw.

#### Effect of Urea Treated Straw on Digestibility

Primary location of fiber digestion is rumen in cattle (Rai and Mudgal, 1988). It was proposed that feeding of urea as molasses blocks along with the paddy straw can improve the digestibility in ruminants (Leng, 1984). The proposed theory for improved digestibility due to as a result of increased straw degradability and better microbial activity, would cause a substantial improvement in digestibility and also involuntary intake (Fadel, 2003). Ahmed (2003) with that 4.0% urea + 4.0% soybean treated rice straw improved the co-efficient of digestibility of crude fiber. Wanapat et al. (2009) stated that urea treated paddy straw improve feed intake, digestibility and rumen ecology in cattle. Gunun (2013) explained the importance of the particle size of roughage to maintain the rumen ecosystem and it was associated with fiber digestibility and optimal pH for cellulolytic microorganisms.

## Effect of Urea Treated Straw on Nutrient Content

Urea is one of the major chemical agents used to improve the nutritive value of cereal straws and other fibrous by-products (Ray *et al.*, 1989; Got *et al.*, 1991;

Tune et al., 1991). After treating with urea, it causes breaking of the ester bonds between lignin, hemicelluloses and cellulose, and finally all the fiber becomes enlarged. Urea ammonization affects CW composition and improves the extent of rumen degradability in the ruminants (Mason et al., 1988; Many chi et al., 1992). Khan et al. (1999) stated that addition of urease sources increased the rate of urea hydrolysis and crude protein content of treated straw. The increases of DM, OM, CP, a NDF and ADF digestibility in urea-calcium hydroxide treated rice straw treatments (Trach et al., 2001). Malek et al. (2008) reported that the value for NFE of urease enzyme treated group was lower than untreated rice straw group. Increase in the VFA levels was a reflection of the increase in the rumen fermentation which finally results in increased OMD and NDF (Trach et al., 2001). Midau et al. (2015) reported the results of the urea treated paddy straw and plain paddy straw and stated that, urea treated paddy straw had a higher crude protein value (12.35%) than the untreated paddy straw (3.22%).

# Effect of urea treated straw on fermentable energy

Concentrate supplementation for such low - quality roughages also increases the rumen fermentation due to the supply of readily fermentable energy. However, it has been reported that high concentration levels in the diet may reduce rumen fermentation and rumination time (Korsakov and Ryle, 1990). Urea treatment of basal diets provided more fermentable energy and nitrogen to the rumen microbes than plain paddy straw (Tune *et al.*, 1991). Gunun (2013) reported that chemical treatments enhanced the nutritive value of rice straw through increasing the number of accessible sites of microbial attachment on the surface of the particles, increasing fibrinolytic microbe quantity and improving rumen fermentation characteristics.

# Effect of Urea Treated Straw on Milk Production

Initially, Prasad *et al.* (1998) proved that by addition of the urea, fat content and the solids not fat production was increased along with the high milk production. Wanapat *et al.* (2009) recorded the higher concentration of the fat and protein in urea treated cattle than the plain paddy straw treated group of cattle. Midau *et al.* (2015) found the increase in milk yield along with the 3.5% FCM in the cattle feed with urea-treated rice straw than the control group in their study.

#### References

- Ahmed S, Khan MJ, Shahjalal M and Islam KMS. Effects of feeding urea and soybean meal-treated rice straw on digestibility of feed nutrients and growth performance of bull calves. Asian Australasian J. Anim. Sci. 2003;15(4):522-527.
- Akter M, Khan MJ, Akbar MA and Asaduzzaman M. Improving quality of rice straw through treatment with urea and midden soil for large animals. Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science. 2013;42(1):29-34.
- Anshar M, Nasir FA and Kader AS. Potential surplus of rice straw as a source of energy for rural communities in Indonesia. Appl. Mech. and Mate. 2015;695:806-810.
- Djajanegara and Doyle PT. Urea supplementation compared with pretreatment: I. Effects on intake, digestion and live-weight change by sheep fed a rice straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 1989;27-30.
- Fadel Elseed AMA, Sekine J, Hishinuma M and Hamana K. Effects of ammonia, urea plus calcium hydroxide and animal urine treatments on chemical composition and in sacco degradability of rice straw. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 2003;16:368-373.
- Ghana DM, Kim ambo AE, Sandston F and Madsen J. Influence of urea treatment or supplementation on degradation, intake and growth performance of goats fed rice straw diets. 1993; 209-220.
- 7. Got M, Gordon AH and Chess A. Effect of gaseous ammonia on barley straws showing different rumen degradability. J. Sci. Food Agric. 1991;56:141-153.
- 8. Gunun P, Wanapat M and Anantasook N. Effects of Physical Form and Urea Treatment of Rice Straw on Rumen Fermentation, Microbial Protein Synthesis and Nutrient Digestibility in Dairy Steers. Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 2013;26(12):1689-1697.
- Khan MJ, Scaife JR and Hovell FD. The effect of different sources of urease enzyme on the nutritive value of wheat straw treated with urea as a source of ammonia. Asian-Austral Asian J. Anim. Sci., 1999; 12(7):1063-1069.
- 10. Korsakov ER and Ryle M. Energy nutrition in ruminants. Elsevier Appl. Sci. Publ., New York. 1990; Pp 16-42.
- 11. Lehmann F. Der Nahrwert der Cellulose. Landw. Versuchsstation, 1991;38:337.
- 12. Leng RA. Supplementation of tropical and subtropical pasture for ruminant production. In: Herbivore Nutrition in Subtropics and Tropics (Ed. F. C. M. Gilchrist and R. I. Mackie). The Science Press, Graghall, South Africa. 1984; pp. 129-144.
- 13. Malek MA, Khan MJ and Islam KMS. Nutritive value of rice straw as affected by ensiling with urea and urease sources at various moisture levels. Indian Journal of Animal Science, 2008;78:182-185.
- 14. Males JR. Optimizing the utilization of cereal crop residues for beef cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 1987;65: 1124-1130.

- 15. Many chi B, Korsakov ER and Kay RNB. Effects of feeding small amounts of ammonia treated straw on degradation and intake of untreated straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 1992;38,293-304.
- Mason VC, Hartley RD, Keene AS and Cabby JM.
   The effect of ammonization on the nutritive value of wheat, barley and oat straw. 1. Changes en chemical composition in relation to digestibility in vitro and cell wall degradability. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 1988; 19,159-171.
- 17. McDonald AA, Edwards RA, Greenhalgh JFD and Morgan CA. Animal Nutrition. 6th ed. Harlow: Pearson Education. 2002.
- 18. Mesfin R and Ktaw G. Effect of feeding urea treated wheat straw based diet on biological performances and economic benefits of lactating Boran-Friesian crossbred dairy cows. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 2010; Volume 22, Article #231.
- 19. Midau A, Mubi AA and Kwaji Z. Evaluation of chemical composition of treated and untreated rice straw using urea. Scientific Journal of Animal Science 2015;4(12):163-167.
- 20. Nguyen VN, Wanapat M, Khejornsart P and Kongmun P. Nutrient digestibility and ruminal fermentation characteristic in swamp buffaloes fed on chemically treated rice straw and urea. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 2012;44:629-636.
- 21. Prasad RDD, Reddy MR and Reddy GVN. Effect of feeding baled and stacked urea treated rice straw on the performance of crossbred cows. Journal of Dairy Science. 1998;73(3-4):347–352.
- 22. Rai SN and Mudgal VD. Feeding treated straw for efficient utilization and production by Murrah buffaloes. Buffalo J. 1988;2:161-172.
- 23. Ray SN, Wally TK and Gupta BN. The chemical composition and nutritive value of rice straw after treatment with urea or coronus fematrius in a solid state fermentation system. Anim. Feed Sci.Technol. 1989;26:81-92.
- 24. Reddy BS and Kumari KN. Therapeutic management of alkaline indigestion in bovines a report of three cases, Intas Polivet 2010;11(II):173-174.
- 25. Reddy DV. Evaluation of rice straw-poultry droppings based rations supplemented with graded levels of rice bran in fistulated buffaloes. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 1996;58:227-37.
- 26. Reddy LSSVP, Reddy BS and Naik BR. Haematological and Clinical Alterations with Traumatic Reticuloperitonitis in Cattle. Inter J Vet Sci. 2014;3(4):203–05.
- 27. Rehrahie M and Ledin I. Effect of feeding urea treated teff and barely straw based diets to crossbred dairy cows on feed intake, milk yield, milk composition and economic benefits. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 2001;16(12).
- 28. Selim ASM, Pan J, Takano T, Suzuki T, Koike S, Kobayashi Y and Tanaka K. Effect of ammonia treatment on physical strength of rice straw,

- distribution of straw particles and particleassociated bacteria in sheep rumen. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 2004;115:117-28.
- 29. Silva AT and Orskov ER. The effect of five different supplements on the degradation of straw in sheep given untreated barley straw. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 1988;19:289-98.
- 30. Sivajothi S, Reddy BS, Kumari KN and Rayulu VC. Haematological changes in Trypanosoma evansi infected cattle. International Journal of Scientific World, 2014;2(1):27-30.
- 31. Trach NX, Mo M and Dan CX. Effects of treatment of rice straw with lime and/or urea on its chemical

- composition, in-vitro gas production and in-sacco degradation characteristics. Livest. Res. Rur. Dev. 2001;13:5-12.
- 32. Tune AA, Dayan MM, Young BA and Vijchulata P. Intake and digestion of urea treated, urea supplemented and untreated rice straw by goats. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 1991;32,333-40.
- 33. Wanapat M, Polyrach S, Boonnop K, Mapato C and Cherdthong A. Effect of treating rice straw with urea and calcium hydroxide upon intake, digestibility, rumen fermentation and milk yield of dairy cows. Livest. Sci. 2009;125:238-43.